Annex B

Response No.	Comments	Support	Object	Not specified
1	We <u>do not</u> support the idea of the above parking restrictions and parking bay alterations. We live at the of Abbey Street close to where one of the bays is proposed and feel strongly that its installation will do little to help the situation. The volume of traffic is the problem.		Object	
	Having lived here for over 34 years we know how much busier the road has become over time. When we moved in, heavy lorry traffic serving the still industrial creek was the problem. Now, living at the town end of Abbey Street we can easily see that the parking spaces at our end of the road are used by many non-residents - people using the hour (often more!) to visit shops/cafes, parents waiting in their cars for their children to walk up the street from the QE school and to visit the now thriving quay at the other end of the road. The problem lies simply with the volume of traffic using Abbey Street, which we presume must have been considered at length when planning permission was given for all the new housing around the creek. We are very aware of the frustrations people feel when they have trouble using the road but the situation needs a better solution. It has been made worse recently with the amount of trade / builders vans in the street but we have take into account that old houses need a lot of caring for!			
	We have done what we can to help and become a 'one small car' house some time ago.			
2	Thanks for the information about this proposal. Good idea, we support it. Our immediate concern was that the grass area alongside the proposed bay in Abbey Place would be lost but we are assured this is safe as the bay is on the existing road, as are the existing parking bays. Green is in very short supply and we must prevent any further loss!	Support		
3	Although the traffic along abbey Street has dramatically increased over the last year or two due to the new housing and business in and around Standard Quay I object to the proposed alterations to the parking bays along Abbey Street. I already find it incredibly difficult to park early evening. The bays along this stretch are not only serving the		Object	

	adjacent houses but also the row of houses behind and visitors to the Anchor pub etc. I have 1 car/1 space and quite often find myself having to park some way from my house and even on Belvedere Rd!			
	My suggestion is for a one-way system along Abbey Street looping back round Belvedere Road. This will involve opening up Standard Quay to Belvedere Road which I feel will be a much more sensible option in the long run, especially with the additional houses being built around Standard Quay and the commercial expansion of business in the Quay itself. It is not sustainable!			
4	Thank you for your letter re proposed parking restriction Abbey St.		Object	
	I strongly object to the installation of 3 sections of double yellow lines on Abbey Street. I live at and it's important for me to be able to park outside my house as my husband			
	Currently cars have to drive slowly and carefully in order to allow room for each other to pass and it works well. I've lived at this address since July and do not see a need to remove parking places at this point. It would be a box ticking excersice and It won't improve traffic flow but will inconvenience residents. I know of at least one other resident who lives opposite me with life difficult for us.			
	I do not object to installing a new parking bay in Abbey Place.			
	Thank you for considering my objection.	_		
5	I support the proposals which should help the traffic problems.	Support	011.	
6	Further to your recent circular and plan, we are against the proposals for the following reasons:		Object	
	1. In order to create passing places that would provide an improvement to the current arrangement, these would need to be both further apart than those proposed at No. 92-94, and 99-100, and also on both sides of the road.			
	2. This however would mean losing far too many existing parking spaces to alleviate a relatively minor problem.			
	3. The current arrangement works satisfactorily enough and would avoid losing desperately needed parking spaces at the top of Abbey Street (nearest the town).			

		I		
	4. Consideration should be given to residents-only parking in Abbey Street.			
	5. Consideration should also be given to limit on-street parking permits to one per household.			
	6. Consideration should be given to prevent parents at QE school delivering and collecting their children. This creates problems morning and afternoon and must have a detrimental affect on air quality.			
	NB: Arrangements at the bottom of Abbey Street (nearest the Creek) may benefit from some adjustment as the road is narrower, although there are already 2 passing points on opposite sides of the road.			
7	I object to the proposed parking bay restrictions between 99 The Phoenix public house, and number 100 Abbey Street. The parking at this end of Abbey Street is at a premium partly because of its proximity to the town centre and partly due to the development called the Old Brewery Lofts who's residents also have		Object	
8	permits for parking in Abbey Street. The proposals to alter the parking restrictions and bay alterations are welcome and hopefully will assist in alleviating the traffic problems of traffic movement in Abbey Street. I support the proposals.	Support		
9	Just to explain why I am against your proposed alterations in Abbey Street. Basically what you are proposing is making extra parking spaces in places where it beneficial not to have parking spaces eg outside 78/79.		Object	
	(For your information, that is exactly where some of the diesel guzzling four wheel tanks turn around after having dropped off their offspring for classes at QEII school situated at the end of Abbey Place).			
	What you want to do is <u>reduce</u> the traffic - not making it easier for even more traffic to move inThere are now shops and restaurants opening at Standard Quay and in addition there will be more houses coming on the market soon. This will mean even more traffic			
	Creating sections where cars can pull in to wait for oncoming traffic does not solve traffic nightmare in Abbey Street, no on the contrary, it will make it even worse.			
	Obviously the dire traffic situation we are now experiencing here in Abbey Street needs a thorough reworking of the surrounding infrastructure which should resolve this problem for once and for all.			

	Here are some of my suggestions:			
	 Link up Abbey Fields with the QEII school which would reduced traffic in Abbey Street. Relocate the QEII to a more suitable location. Provide a drop off place near Cyprus Road/Whitstable Road where students of the QEII school can be dropped off. Link up Belvedere Road with Abbey Street and make it one way. Built a new (foot/cycle) bridge at standard Quay and a large car park / with small local shops on the other side. Complete the Western Link - according to the 1960's plans! 			
10	I support the proposals and am relieved that the proposal to demarcate parking bays appears not to have been considered.	Support		
11	To whom it may concern, We, as residents at restrictions and bay alterations.	Support		
12	 I wish to object to the proposed parking restrictions for Abbey Street and Abbey Place. My comments: This will create a slalom where cars will accelerate to pass. Fewer bays will cause parking issues elsewhere. For houses with passing bays outside, this will become a nuisance as they will have a series of cars sitting outside their house with engines running which will increase pollution in the street. 		Object	
13 (Kent Fire & Rescue)	As this will assist in vehicular access, we support this proposal.	Support		
14	I Object to the proposal. The problems of vehicles passing is as a result of the commercial activity at Standard Quay, the number of cars driving young people to Queen Elizabeth School, as well as the Council decisions to allow extraordinary housing development, with access solely through Abbey Street. The Abbey Neighbourhood Association reflects mainly the interests of those in the new developments all who claim private parking and were clearly aware of the access to their properties when they purchased. Abbey Street residents have to share opportunities to park their vehicles with those who live in Vicarage Street, Abbey Place and scandalously those in the new developments who are able and do buy residents permits as well as		Object	

	visitors who can park for limited periods to visit the two Pubs and the Gallery. Any reduction in parking		
	bays would adversely affect the people in Abbey Street who have no other possible places to park.		
15	In response to your recent letter, I confirm that I support the proposed restrictions and alterations to parking in Abbey Street and Abbey Place, Faversham.	Support	
16	I thoroughly approve of the proposed alterations which can only help the situation. It is especially problematic at weekends school times. I have had further thoughts on the situation having spent about ten minutes on Friday afternoon not moving on Abbey Street. Since the rebuilding of the 1960s, cars have got ever bigger which leads directly to the jams of today. The simplest way to ease the congestion is surely to take a foot or so off each very wide pavement so that two cars could (slowly) pass? Of course the trees prevent greater widening and I wouldn't like to see them removed - a wide road would just encouraging dangerous speeding! The goal must be to preserve two way traffic, as a one-way system returning via Belvedere Road, which I have heard has been suggested, would be madness.	Support	
17	Your correspondence regarding 'Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterations – Abbey St and Abbey PI Faversham arrived at an opportune moment, as we were about to send you the following regarding the layout of the parking bay between and Abbey Street. It reads as follows: One of the entrance pillars to our premises at Abbey St. has been badly damaged. This occurred between Friday 2.10.20 and the next day – Saturday 3.10.20. As you can see from the pictures below - a heavyish vehicle has either driven or reversed into it thereby weakening the structure and dislodging the corner stones and bricks. The cost of repairing this will amount to already been sought.	Support	
	We are extremely surprised that it has taken this long for an 'incident' to occur. Whoever planned and ordered the layout of this particular residents bay by the entrance to no. , obviously did not give any thought as to how the occupants/visitors of were to safely access the street from the driveway. It has long been extremely difficult and dangerous to drive out into the street, especially if there is a van or large vehicle parked right up to the limit – or even just over the double yellow lines outside our driveway. There is absolutely no way to view any traffic that may be heading down Abbey St. Added to these impracticalities is the parking bay opposite said drive – in front of no. 43 – and if in use, making vehicles use the right-hand lane when approaching The Anchor Inn – thereby endangering the front of any vehicle exiting our drive.		
	We would welcome a meeting with your 'parking bay' planning dept. to discuss and actually look at the		

	problems. We fear that there will be further 'incidents' along this part of Abbey St with all the cars and very heavy goods vehicles that use this road. These 2 x parking bays MUST be reviewed A S A P. before there are more incidents.	
18	Thank you for seeking our views regarding the proposed changes to the parking restrictions and parking bay alterations in Abbey Street and Abbey Place, Faversham.	Object
	We live on Abbey Street and both object to the proposals.	
	We are concerned that the proposals would make Abbey Street easier to navigate by car and thus see a further increase in traffic volume and speed of road users. Some people already bomb down the street at what looks like over 30mph despite the 20mph speed limit. These changes would we fear only encourage such behaviour.	
	Instead of these proposals we feel a more radical approach needs to be taken. Abbey Street is of important historic interest and a key tourist attraction within the town and needs to be protected from an ever-increasing amount of traffic.	
	Ideally, we think that the council should seek to link up Belvedere Road with Standard Quay and place a barrier at the Anchor end of Abbey Street making it a no through road only used by residents. This would protect Abbey Street as it should be due to its important historic nature and its key role in attracting tourists into the town. All traffic for Standard Quay could use Belvedere Road. If the cost of joining up the road were an issue I'm sure Abbey Street residents would be keen to fundraise for this project.	
	Failing this we suggest that Swale Borough Council seek to link up Belvedere Road and Standard Quay but create a one-way system using both Abbey Street and Belvedere Road. This would halve the traffic in Abbey Street and deal with the problem of cars struggling to pass one another.	
	Failing this we think the Council should seek to encourage Standard Quay users to park in town and stroll down historic Abbey Street. This would be good for shops in town and create revenue for the Council from the car park charges. They should create a safe space to walk around the corner from Abbey Street to Standard Quay where there is currently no pavement.	
	In conclusion, we object to the proposed changes and sincerely hope the Council will take a more	

	radically positive approach.		
19	I support the proposed changes as shown in the plan received but I do not think it goes far enough in eliminating the problems experienced, especially with the increased housing that has and still is going up in the surrounding areas I.e. Standard Quay and Belvedere Road.	Support	
20	I live at , Abbey Street	Support	
	I am in favour of the proposal. My front window faces onto Abbey Street and every day I witness cars reaching a stalemate because they cannot pass one another due to the double parking immediately outside my house. At best it involves one vehicle being forced to reverse a considerable distance, which is further hampered if there are other cars behind them, but frequently it also escalates into confrontation and altercation between drivers.		
	I would add that this problem has been terrible for years and your records should show that in 2011 after pressure from myself and other residents in who lobbied our local counsellors you agreed to install bollards in the pavement outside my and my neighbours properties which front onto Abbey Street because cars were frequently mounting the pavement outside our front doors in order to pass one another. On one occasion a member of my family pushing a sthey left my house was nearly clipped by a car which had mounted the pavement outside of my front door.		
	So whilst the bollards have stopped cars from mounting the pavement, the problem continues with cars unable to pass which has been further exasperated by the increased traffic travelling to the various new activities at Standard Quay.		
	So I think this your plans to create a passing place are needed and overdue to avoid continued problems in Abbey Street.		
21	Thank you for giving residents the opportunity to comment.	Support	
	I confirm that I support the proposals. I would add that many drivers do not know who has right of way and who should give way which causes the problems in Abbey Street. If these people		

	exercised a little consideration and adhered to rules of the road then there would be no need to			
	introduce these measures at all!			
22	I support the proposals.	Support		
23	I would like to object to the proposed parking restrictions on the following grounds.		Object	
	 Most episodes of congestion arise during the school run or at weekends when the traffic levels are higher and tend to be the result of poor and aggressive driving which the provision of passing bays will not resolve. During this time, a high percentage of drivers do not pull into empty parking bays to let others pass, and it is therefore unlikely that passing bays will have an impact. At this time the junction of Abbey Street/Church Street/Court Street can become problematic and potentially dangerous for pedestrians. This proposal will not resolve this. Traffic levels during the weekdays are low and the traffic flow runs smoothly at these times. Where there times of high traffic flow, the aim should be to reduce/manage the levels of traffic rather than facilitating it. Greater promotion of parking elsewhere in the town and initiatives to encourage people to visit the street/quay on foot could be considered. Passing bays will not improve the experience of pedestrians which should be a key consideration The reduction of parking bays will have a knock-on effect, and reduce the overall number of spaces available as part of the residents' parking scheme. There will be a negative environmental impact for those houses who have passing bays immediately outside. This will be particularly acute in this narrow street. It is likely that passing bays will be used by delivery drivers to facilitate a fast drop-off 			
	Given the points above, an alternative option might be to trial a 'rights of way' approach.			
24	Please see below my comments There should be double yellow lines at the top of Abbey Street on the side of the Phoenix. Currently there are single yellow which always causes congestion into Quay Lane and Court Street as cars need to queue to get down Abbey Street and if cars are parked on the current single lines that means blocking the above mentioned roads as the cars coming up Abbey Street block those cars attempting to go down. I am at a loss to see how adding an additional parking bay outside 78\79 will do anything other than add			Not specified
	to the congestion. With permission given for so many additional houses and business increasing traffic &			

	this area of Abbey Street being the narrowest and on a bend making seeing down very difficult I would have expected additional yellow lines between 71 and 79 and not more parking which will add to the lack of visibility.			
25	I am the resident and owner of Abbey Street. I support any proposal which improves the traffic flow down Abbey Street and increases the passing places - I am fed up with my car being scratched and dented by impatient drivers who attempt to pass oncoming cars when there is clearly insufficient space and have no respect for residents' cars.	Support		
	However I do have another suggestion which would lose less parking spaces and still increase the room for cars to pass each other. Leave the 3 parking spaces outside 64 and 65 as now but remove 1 parking space opposite 65 and in front of the electricity sub station. This would provide the same room for passing and save a nett 2 parking places.			
	I hope that this is helpful and will be given serious consideration.			
26	I support the proposal named above.	Support		
27	I support the proposals. Abbey Street has always been difficult for passing approaching cars. The development of Standard Quay and the increase in housing in that area have worsened the problem. Faversham is trying to encourage a 20 mph speed limit but cars try to speed down Abbey Street because of the difficulty caused by a lack of passing areas. People in the Standard Quay area have no alternative but Abbey Street in order to go anywhere and it will be a solution to the difficulty of travelling up and down Abbey Street.	Support		
28	Abbey Street certainly has traffic problems, but this proposal treats the whole street as one problem when it is in fact more than that. The section from Court Street to Abbey Place takes the school traffic, the section north of that does not, but is very narrow. Both sections suffer from too little space, and sudden bursts of speed from drivers trying to get through before the cars in the other direction block them. Aggression by drivers is noticeably on the increase. We have lived here since watched it all happen.		Object	
	Speed is actually the main problem which we can address. The whole Abbey Street zone should have a greatly reduced speed limit and signs showing it is a residential and play area. That would calm the whole area down.			

	We do not want yellow lines outside our house. We strongly object to yellow lines which are unsightly in this most beautiful of streets, and add to tensions and confrontations When globally-recognised streetscape consultant addressed the Abbey Neighbourhood Association he said yellow lines were not the solution and are to be avoided, for many reasons, not least emphasising 'ownership' or possession which raises tempers.		
	There are three categories of kerbside: yellow lines at the road junctions, the parking bays, and access areas some of which also serve as passing places. These latter are not parking bays and have no yellow lines. They function perfectly well without yellow lines. They serve various purposes, including access to and from private property, as at our house		
	We do not object to lengthening the passing place outside our house, but we think you are proposing to remove too much parking.		
	Actually the street needs more parking spaces, rather than fewer. It also desperately and obviously needs a much lower speed limit, which could be achieved by signage (cheap) or bumpy rumble-surfaces (very expensive). The odd police patrol wouldn't go amiss either. Motor bikes are a problem re bursts of speed, and at least one dog has been injured by speeding traffic. This is a residential area, with young children, elderly people, and pets all needing to cross it the road. Electric vehicles (cars and bikes) are now almost silent they are very dangerous in this area. You just can't hear them coming. They must be made to SLOW DOWN.		
	The problems are exacerbated by recent developments permitted at the northern end of the street, which as local residents we objected to, but had no support from SBC when predicting increased congestion. There are safety implications for all this too, should a fire engine or ambulance be required. Also the loss of a stretch of Belvedere Road to a property developer who proposes to build on it, thus rendering any future one-way system impossible.		
29	I am in favour of the alterations proposed in the undated letter (which included no reference number) from Swale Borough Council, in which comments were requested by 6 November 2020.	Support	
30	I support the proposed parking arrangements which are badly needed.	Support	
31	Thank you for your letter regarding the above. The proposed measures will not affect me, as I have my own driveway, but I would suggest that traffic flow would be easier if, instead of removing three/four	23880.0	Not specified

	parking places adjacent to no.64, the same ease of passing could be achieved by removing just one			
	parking space on the other side of the road, outside the electricity sub-station.			
32	I object to the proposal		Object	
	Comments			
	Many large vehicles use the wide entrance to abbey place to pass each other, turn round and manoeuvre			
	including school deliveries that cannot get through the gates. Putting parking bays in this space will			
	cause additional stress on the roads as this area will become jammed more quickly instead. Thus reducing the benefit of fewer parking bays in abbey street.			
	I think that the best solution is that number of parking bays should be reduced overall.			
33	Dear Engineers	Support		
	I support these proposals.			
	Yours sincerely			
34	We support the proposals.	Support		
	We support the proposals because currently there are only 3 or 4 places that two vehicles can pass each			
	other for the entire length of Abbey Street.			
	We would like to see reminder 20mph signs painted on the road surface (one for each direction of			
	travel) as has been done in Forbes Road and Court Street. There is a tendency for drivers (delivery vans			
	especially) to sprint between the passing places to avoid having to give way.			
35	Following the recent letter regarding the proposed parking restrictions and parking bay alterations on	Support		
	Abbey Street and Abbey Place in Faversham, we would like to advise that we completely support the			
36	proposals, as outlined in the letter and accompanying plan. Quite simply there are two concerns in respect of parking in Abbey street and Abbey Place.			Not
30				specified
	One)			•
	Resident parking, if one takes the number of houses and equates that to the total allotted area within			
	the two street's for parking, there is ample space. Add to that vehicles from Vicarage road, and church			
	street, the one hour occasional parking for shoppers, parking permits handed out for visitors, tradesmen,			
	etc, and in some cases, multi occupancy, the remaining spaces are soon taken.			

	Quite simply put it's a number's thing, the demand for parking is higher than the current space permits, although this is not always apparent, and can change depending on the time of day, town's business activities' including the Phoenix public house.			
	Two)			
	Through traffic, over the years there has been, and continues to be an increase in the amount of traffic passing through Abbey street. This includes the Anchor public house, businesses on Standard quay, new housing developments, and the Queen Elizabeth school. The traffic varies from heavy construction, council services, various deliveries' including articulated HGV's, and shopper's cars.			
	The two issues are not connected however, on the occasions when/if Abbey Street is full the reduced passing/parking spaces creates traffic blockages. That coupled with apparent poor driving skills and driver courtesy creates an issue. The proposed scheme for the introduction of passing bays, and marking out parking bay's may, for a time, ease the situation. But cannot be considered a long term solution.			
	Air quality)			
	Moreover, and additionally, the current level of traffic through Abbey Street will inevitably increase. The current level of noise, medieval timber framed houses vibrating from the effects of HGV's passing, the dirt and grime from traffic is, and will, become an issue that'll require a solution.			
37	This is to support fully the proposals for the changes to the parking restrictions and parking bay alterations to Abbey Street and Abbey Place Faversham. Thank you and well done.	Support		
38	Re Your UNDATED letter regarding the above seeking my views by 6 th November 2020 I strongly object to the Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterations for Abbey Street for the section between the junction with Quay lane and Abbey Place. I only make my comment on this section of the proposal, as I have no strong view on use of the section to the north. I also wish to point out that this matter was not, unanimously approved by the Abbey Neighbourhood Association (ANA). I personally objected to the suggestion of proposed passing place enhancement of the Phoenix entrance at the meeting on 3 June 2019. At that ANA meeting gave an interesting talk and accompanying slide show illustrating how streets can be better organized to benefit residents/business/community, by using the space between		Object	

buildings more effectively.

He felt it is important to treat Faversham's Heritage with respect, and he said 'yellow lines, including those painted with polluting yellow paint are not the answer'.

His presentation led to a discussion on how the Abbey Street parking areas could be better laid out with appropriate marking of spaces, in keeping with the area, with regular parking delineation allowing an increase in the number of spaces. This was quite sensible and logical and had much merit in countering the current inefficient parking space utilization,

However I was against enhancing and formalising the Phoenix entrance as a passing place as this would do little to address the issue and would only further encourage excessive speed of vehicles moving between that area and Abbey Place. My objection then and now is that cars currently wait for the road to clear to make the movement, often waiting at the entrance to Abbey Street because the sight lines do not show any alternative. It is an approach requiring caution and courtesy.

At the present time the Phoenix entrance does act as a recess for southbound traffic to avoid oncoming northbound traffic, with little patience, entering Abbey Street whilst their exit is not clear. I would respectfully point out that the Phoenix entrance is a working entrance to the Phoenix car park, and also an area frequently used by their delivery vehicles, and therefore restricting waiting here is impracticable as there is no alternative option for vehicles with a legitimate need to service those premises. In addition the entrance is the vehicle access for the Whitbread House residential parking.

If it is considered that there is a traffic-passing problem, causing slight delays, it will not be solved by proposing some double yellow lines because it does nothing to provide any clearly identifiable intermediate passing space. At the moment without such spaces the movement of traffic is self-regulating, slowing down speeds and deterring some vehicles.

Yes, the traffic has seen an increase over the years but Swale Borough Council saw no significant Highway impact preventing approval of an additional 50 new houses in just over 20 years, and the current commercial development of Standard Quay (coaches welcome!).

Finally on the idea of bright double yellow lines I would remind Swale Council that its own Faversham conservation area character appraisal says (Extract from report to Planning Committee 9 September 2004 (Agenda item 1.1, Annex B.)

3.22. Abbey Street,These present-day elevations combine to form an outstanding example of a pre-C19 street which is ranked, by common consent, as a place of national importance.

In summary the proposals will have no beneficial impact on parking, will encourage excessive speeding of vehicles on this residential street and will also have a detrimental visual impact on a area of outstanding historic character. Given the adverse impact of the proposal I consider its implementation

	would be a disgraceful waste of public money. Local government time and funds should be much better			
	spent.			
39	We support the proposals.	Support		
	Further, we ask that Swale paints space lines within the bays to mark where each individual car can park. Many people park without care to use space well and thus occupy two spaces. This means up to 25% of the available parking space is wasted. Given that this consultation is about improving the efficiency of traffic flow, this measure would make a major contribution to the order of the street by creating additional passing spaces when the street is below 100% parking capacity.			
40	Thank you for the letter sent to local residents about the proposed parking changes along Abbey Street to allowing passing along Abbey Street.	Support		
	We would like to add our support to these proposed parking changes, which will ensure there are passing places along Abbey Street.			
	Negotiating access along Abbey Street, which is effectively single lane along most of its length, has become much more difficult in recent years particularly due to the development of shopping and restaurant, and parking facilities at Standard Quay. Vehicles often end up having to reverse to allow vehicles to progress where there are no passing places, traffic often becomes gridlocked, which can cause tailbacks along Court Street particularly at school run times when there is more traffic also accessing The Queen Elizabeth School. We are also aware that there has been damage to residents' vehicles parked on Abbey Street due to these access difficulties.			
	The changes in the position of the parking bays will particularly affect our neighbours in Abbey Street who use them, we hope these changes in the parking bays are acceptable to them. We are affected by the access issues where we live, as there is no alternative route to avoid Abbey Street; all access to houses, QE School, businesses at Standard Quay, and some traffic to Iron Wharf use Abbey Street for access.			
	Thank you for your help in trying to find a solution to these access difficulties			
41	I object to the proposals. I note from the minutes of the Swale Joint Transportation Board meetings of 2 March 2020 and 7 September 2020 that the ABNA have proposed that individual bays should be marked out but I see no		Object	

	mention of such in your undated note seeking comments from residents of Abbey Street, and to which I am responding. In your note you refer to "bays" when outlining the introduction of yellow lines so am I to conclude you do intend to mark out individual bays throughout Abbey Street? It's clear from the discussion at the meeting on 7 September 2020 that the benefits of doing so are dubious and I contend			
	that parking in Abbey Street would not be made easier. I am at a loss as to why the ABNA feel that the volume of through traffic and the problems it causes on occasion, should be dealt with by tinkering with the parking amenities of residents. If parking control is to be the route to the solution why has no consideration been given to alternative strategies, such as,			
42	say, restricting the issue of permits to one per household, or allowing only permit holders to park? To Whom it may concern, I am writing regarding the proposed parking restrictions and parking bay alterations to Abbey Street. I would like to object to the current proposals. While I agree that traffic can be frustrating along the street, I feel that by placing parking bays in Abbey place/street would actually cause more traffic congestion. By creating parking bays in Abbey Place, this would make the road almost into a single lane road, which blocks traffic wanting to turn into Abbey place and in turn would create more traffic congestion along Abbey Street. Maybe an alternative would be to give resident permits only to people on Abbey street/place, or have parents drop children for Queen Elizabeth school off at Tesco car park, as congestion is always bad at school drop off/pick up. Thank you for your time,		Object	
43	I support the proposals for the proposed alterations in abbey street and abbey place in Faversham. It would also be good to have parking time limits on a Sunday as well. Or residents only the entire time!? Unlikely I know but worth a punt With developments at standard quay there is a lot more traffic and HGV's using the abbey street; many don't stick to the speed limit.	Support		

44	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterate Place, Faversham	ions – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support	
	Please tick one of the following boxes			
		Object to the proposal		
	Name & Address	Comments		
	A fer	tastic proposal.		
45	December 1 December 1		Support	
45	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alto Place, Faversham	erations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support	
	Please tick one of the following boxes			
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal		
	Name & Address	Comments		
	U	ety necosbary		
	as	access is becoming		
	ing	Dossible -		

	posed Parking Restrictions and Parking Ece, Faversham	Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support	
	ase tick one of the following boxes			
100	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal		
	Name & Address	Comments		

47	I return the slip indicating my support for the proposals together with your drawing showing the details.	Support	
	I must however point out that the double yellow line shown on your drawing between Whitbread House and the entrance (corner) to Church Street is at present a single yellow. It would be beneficial to change this to a double yellow as it forms part of a very busy four-way junction — Abbey Street, Court Street, Quay Lane, Church Street. Traffic often builds up here particularly during school run periods and with traffic turning right from Abbey Street into Quay Lane.		
	Yours faith <u>f</u> ully		
	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Rest		
	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey Place, Faversham Please tick one of the following boxes I Support the proposals I Object to the proposal Name & Address Comments Comments		
	Name & Address Comments 12-10-2		
	The information quarties to the state of the		

48	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey Place, Faversham	Object
	Please tick one of the following boxes I Support the proposals I Object to the proposal	
	Comments	
	· Sovered streets park with permit in abbey at them are not enough spaces	
	anfroy. There are two public houses both with no car parks, they park who in about 57.	
	The information supplied will only be used in conjunction with this consultation	
	and the grander school siles alway street to drop off and for	
	The information supplied will only be used in conjunction with this consultation " The greenman school sizes about street to drap of and pick up fapils. This creates many problems for residents non estates and the inadequate car pres, allow street will undaublably be used by the new cores to the town	
	rewieren to the town	
	· We need a council that looks out for the populare	
	" We need a council that looks out for the populare not one that does not consider that we live here!!	
	· To allow further development in Standard Chay	
	Whils't there is a competely inadequate access route	
	in only in the interests of the developer and certainly	
	But the residents needs.	

			1	<u> </u>	
49	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking	g Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support		
	Place, Faversham				
	Please tick one of the following boxes				
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal			
	1 Support the proposals	robject to the proposal			
		0			
	Name & Address	Comments			
		Apat from the gellow lines, will there be any additional signage to indicate a passing			
		I II II De a Caldibia d			
		will there be any raditioner			
		signage to indicate a passing			
	-	place?			
	T	'U. U			
50	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parkin	ng Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey		Object	
	Place, Faversham	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	Please tick one of the following boxes				
		I Object to the proposal			
	I Support the proposals	Tobject to the proposal			
	Name & Address	Comments			
		I would like to see the removal			
		of the perking bay outside No. 43			
		of the perking being outside No. 43			
		lines. If larger rehides are ported here it can be dangerous trying to got out of the drive and also			
	•	it can be dangerous toping to got out of			
		the drive at the side of the house and also			
		gotting out of the Malthreys apposite.			

51	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey Place, Faversham		Object
	Please tick one of the following boxes I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal	
	Name & Address	Comments	
	,	HAVING MORE CARS ON THE CORNER OF ABREY BLACE WILL MAKE CROSCING THE ROAD MORE DANGEROUS FOR ELDERLY & PARENTS WITH CHILDREN	
52	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking E Place, Faversham	Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Object
	Please tick one of the following boxes		
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal	
	Name & Address	Comments	
	The information supplied will only be used in conjunction w	To Replace the parting boys with doubt yellow lines would be disserted to people wing in ABSEY Street as parked it a huge problem at the best with this consultation	
		cough places to pass of people could be a little more pathent. more double yellow lines wont help.	

				T I
53	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alte Place, Faversham	rations – Abbey Street and Abbey		Object
	Please tick one of the following boxes	/		
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal		
	Name & Address	Comments		
	His . nore , / nor	horefenough getting out from herewithout parking that we will the street into they street into they and they street into they and		
	/ loce	. Specification for more of the state of the		
	The information supplied will only be used in conjunction with this co	nsultation 6/ /		
	$\omega \lambda$	Ist you are at if please put don'the		
	42/1	It you are a lit please put double of lines upt School GATES.		
54	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking B	ay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support	
54	Place, Faversham		Support	
	Please tick one of the following boxes			
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal		
		, and and proposed		
	Name & Address	Comments		
		We support hem restricting		
		We suport new restricts? a 20 mph Sign is road at Send of Alby ST		
		road at send of Alby ST		

			1	
55	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking	Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support	
	Place, Faversham			
	Please, tick one of the following boxes			
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal		
	Name & Address	Comments		
		PASSING BAYS ARE		
		ESSENTIAL DUETO		
		INCREASED TRAFFIC FROM STANDARD QUAY AND		
	2. 9			
		QE SCHOOL		
56	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking B	av Alterations - Abbay Street and Abbay	Support	
	Place, Faversham	ay Alterations - Abbey Street and Abbey	Зарроге	
	Please tick one of the following boxes			
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal		
	1 Support the proposals	i Object to the proposal		
	Name & Address	Comments		
	Name & Address	Comments		

57	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking E Place, Faversham Please tick one of the following boxes	Support	
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal	
	Name & Address	Comments	
		How quickly can you put alterations in place?!!!	
		Mightware diving along Abberst now	
50	he information supplied will only be used in conjunction w	vith this consultation	6
58	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Place, Faversham	Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support
	Please tick one of the following boxes		
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal	
	Name & Address	Comments	
		Introduction of the propered	
		Introduction of the propered ease movement up o down Abbey Street.	

59	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Ba	y Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	55.5	Object	
	Place, Faversham				
	Please tick one of the following boxes				
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal			
	Name & Address	Commente			
	Iname & Address	Comments			
		I lotally agree with the "no" comments my reighbour at — has writter. Understand man proposed this does not live in Fiber ST			
		"no" comments my neighbour			
		at - has written.			
		Understand man proposed this			
	The information available will only be used in conjugation with	the does not live in Abbey ST			
60	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Al	Iterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support		
	Place, Faversham				
	Please tick one of the following boxes I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal			
	1 Support the proposals	i Object to the proposal			
	Name & Address	Comments			
	The information supplied will only be used in conjunction with this	consultation			
61	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay A	Alterations - Abbay Street and Abbay	Support		
	Place, Faversham	Alterations - Abbey offeet and Abbey			
	Please tick one of the following boxes				
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal			
	Name & Address	Comments			
	The information supplied will only be used in conjunction with this	is consultation			

62		Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Support
	Place, Faversham		
	Please tick one of the following boxes		
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal	
	Name & Address	Comments	1
	The state of the s		
	The information supplied will only be used in conjunction	with this consultation	
63	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey		Support
	Place, Faversham		
	Please tick one of the following boxes		
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal	
	Name & Address	Comments	

64	Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterat	ions – Abbey Street and Abbey		Object	
	versham				
	cone of the following boxes	Object to the proposal			
	Support the proposals	Object to the proposal			
	Name & Address	Comments			
	- Pignee	with parring places but the			
	Mobols	ed new purking boy now has			
	daible	comments with parsing places but the ed new pending tray now has yellow lines preminably for a ne. The antrance to Alberthee cers to the school large tornes eagiling vehicles which reverse in- is inted now Emergery service			
	perope	re. The antrance to Alberthace			
	is the	cers to the school large lorries			
	and A	eagiling vetucles which reversely			
	Space	is limited in the sery server			
	Mon supplies vim strip	are currently want in that			
	0.00	it is and order only			
	0	and it is one car occurs only a school to Abber Street because			
	Tros	- 5 chool to Abber Street werene			
	ω	Space.			
65			Support		
05	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking	Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey	Jupport		
	Place, Faversham				
	Please tick one of the following boxes				
		Object to the preparat			
	I Support the proposals	I Object to the proposal			
	Name & Address	Comments			
	-				
	- ,				
		8.5			
	The information supplied will only be used in conjunction	with this consultation			

66	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Abbey Place, Faversham Please tick one of the following boxes I Support the proposals		t
	Name & Address Comments 1 agree, but be Her make Abbayst on Via Belvedere Rel	e was in	
67	Proposed Parking Restrictions and Parking Bay Alterations – Abbey Street and Place, Faversham Please tick one of the following boxes I Support the proposals	d Abbey Suppor	t
	Name & Address Comments This is just a small step to solving the traffic Ryolden here		

Results Total

Support	Object	Not Specified
42	22	3